# BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

REGEIVED

HAR 22 4 24 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

# NOTICE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF FILING OF ERRATA TO TESTIMONY OF WITNESS EGGLESTON (USPS-T-26)

The United States Postal Service hereby files errata to USPS-T-26. An error in LR-I-105 was found while preparing the response to PSA/USPS-T26-4. Historically, in the RPW data files the variable "girth" was set equal to zero unless the parcel was non-rectangular. The data analyst did not know that this convention was changed in the FY1999 data. The result of this was a miscalculation of the average cube of oversize parcels in Postal Quarter 3, FY 1999. The program was rerun to calculate the correct average cubic feet. The average cubic feet of oversized parcels in column 15, on page 6 of Attachment A of USPS-T-26 was replaced with the revised number. This change flowed through the models presented in USPS-T-26 in several places. Revisions to the following pages of USPS-T-26 are attached.

page 9, line 32
page 14, line 9
Attachment A, pages 1, 6, 9, 12 and 15 of 15
Attachment C, page 1 of 1
Attachment D, page 1 of 1
Attachment G, pages 1 and 2 of 2
Attachment I, pages 1 and 2 of 2
Attachment J, page 1 of 1

# Errata to LR-I-105 and LR-I-177 are being filed separately.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2999; Fax –5402 March 22, 2000

the BMC. This means that they will incur additional costs associated with receiving a sort at the plant. Since machinable parcels are sorted to 5-digits at the destination BMC, they simply need to be crossdocked at the plant.

Another reason why NMOs are more expensive to process than machinable parcels is that they are larger than machinable parcels. In BY98, the average size of a NMO was 1.99 cubic feet and the average size of a machinable parcel was .58 cubic feet. Since NMOs are larger than machinable parcels, fewer fit into each type of container. This is reflected in the model through lower conversion factors. Since conversion factors are used to unitize containerized costs, smaller conversion factors will result in more costs being allocated to each parcel.

Table 2 on page 1 of Attachment A displays the modeled and adjusted modeled costs of inter-BMC, intra-BMC and DBMC NMOs. Next, the adjusted modeled costs of NMOs are compared to the adjusted modeled cost of machinable parcels for each of the three rate categories. The estimated cost difference is used by Witness Plunkett to derive the nonmachinable surcharge. The estimated cost differences for inter-BMC, intra-BMC, and DBMC NMOs are 179.0, 117.3, and 127.7 cents respectively.

## 3. Inter-BMC, Intra-BMC, and DBMC Oversize NMO Cost Difference.

Oversize NMOs are parcels that have a length plus girth between 108 inches and 130 inches. These parcels are more costly to handle than other NMOs for many of the same reasons that NMOs are more costly to handle than machinable parcels. Since oversize parcels are larger than other NMOs, fewer oversize parcels fit in each type of container. This is reflected in the conversion factors shown on page 6 of Attachment A. Since a smaller number of parcels fit into each container, the costs of loading, unloading, and moving that container are distributed among a smaller number of parcels. In addition, while some non-oversize NMOs may be sorted on mechanized equipment, oversize parcels have to be sorted manually. 

The adjusted modeled costs for inter-BMC, intra-BMC parcels and DBMC oversize NMOs are shown in Table 2 on page 1 of Attachment A. Table 3 on the same page shows the estimated cost differences between the adjusted modeled cost of NMOs and oversize NMOs for each of the three rate categories. The estimated cost differences for inter-BMC, intra-BMC, and DBMC are 826.4, 567.2 and 427.9 cents,

1 Therefore this testimony assumes that DBMC parcels avoid outgoing mail preparation costs at facilities upstream of the BMC.

The outgoing mail processing costs that DBMC parcels avoid is shown in row 5 on page 2 of Attachment F. The appropriate piggyback factor has already been incorporated into this cost. Next, the unit cost is calculated by dividing the total cost in row 5 by the volume of Parcel Post that is entered upstream of BMC/ASF. This volume is estimated on page 3 of Attachment F. Next, the unit cost in row 7 is multiplied by the wage adjustment factor to derive the estimated mail processing costs avoided by DBMC parcels, see cents.

## 2. BMC Presort

The estimated cost savings of BMC presort is shown on page 1 of Attachment G.

The cost savings are estimated by subtracting the modeled BMC presorted cost per
piece (column 2) from the modeled nonpresorted (inter-BMC) cost per piece (column

1).

The BMC presorted cost per piece is estimated on page 2 of Attachment G. It is estimated using a methodology similar to the mail processing models discussed in Section III of this testimony. The operations in the model have been changed to reflect the fact that the BMC presorted parcels only need to be crossdocked at the origin BMC. In addition, the conversion factors have been changed to reflect the BMC presort requirements. Machinable parcels must be sorted in a 69 inch pallet box with a minimum of 52 inches of mail in each, and NMOs must be sorted onto pallets with a minimum of height of 42 inches of mail.<sup>11</sup>

The estimated BMC presort unit cost savings is 23.2 cents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Docket No.R97-1, USPS-RT-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> BMC presort requirement from DMM § M045.8.3. The cost analysis assumes that on average the pallet boxes and pallets will be filled halfway between the minimum requirement and the maximum fullness.

## PARCEL POST MAIL PROCESSING COST SUMMARY AND DEVELOPMENT

**Table 1: Nonmodel Cost Factor Development** 

	ALC: 100 (100 PM)		
Weighted Avg Model Cost	1/	\$0.840	
Proportional Cost Pools	2/	\$0.970	
CRA Proportional Adjustment	3/	1.154	
CRA Fixed Adjustment	4/	\$0.307	

**Table 2: Total Cost Development** 

	Model Cost	Proportional Adjustment	Fixed Adjustment	Adjusted Cost
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
Inter Mach	\$1.206	1.154	0.307	\$1.698
Inter NMO	\$2.757	1.154	0.307	\$3.488
Inter NMO > 108"	<b>[\$8:368</b> ]	1.154	0.307	\$9.962
intra Mach	\$0.922	1.154	0.307	\$1.371
intra NMO	\$1.939	1.154	0.307	\$2.544
Intra NMO > 108"	\$5.837	1.154	0.307	\$7.042
DBMC Mach	\$0.673	1.154	0.307	\$1.084
DBMC NMO	\$1.780	1,154	0.307	\$2.361
DBMC >108"	\$4,382	1.154	0.307	<b>\$</b> 5,363

**Table 3: Unit Cost Difference Summary** 

		Costs
Intra mach cost savings (compared to Inter mach)	6/	\$0.328
Cost Data to support NMO surcharge		
Inter NMO cost difference	5/	\$1.790
Intra NMO cost difference	7/	\$1.173
DBMC NMO cost difference	8/	\$1.277
Cost Data to support NMO >108 rate		
Inter NMO > 108 cost difference	9/ 🎇	\$8.264
Intra NMO > 108 cost difference	10/	\$5.872
DBMC NMO > 108 cost difference	11/	\$4,279

- Row 1/: Weighted average model costs from Attachment A pages 7 to 15.
- Row 2/: Sum of CRA costs in proportional pools, Attachment A page 2 divided by 100 to convert to dollars.
- Row 3/: Proportional cost pools divided by weighted averaged modeled costs.
- Row 4/: Sum of CRA costs in fixed costs pools, Attachment A, page 2 divided by 100 to convert to dollars.
- Row 5/: Total costs of inter NMO [4] minus total costs of inter mach [4].
- Row 6/: Total costs of inter mach [4] total costs of inter mach [4].
- Row 7/: Total costs of intra NMO [4] minus total costs of intra mach [4].
- Row 8/: Total cost of DBMC NMO [4] minus total cost of DBMC mach [4].
- Row 9/: Total cost of inter mach > 108 [4] minus total cost of inter mach [4].
- Row 10/: Total cost of intra NMO > 108 [4] minus total cost of intra mach [4].
- Row 11/: Total cost of DBMC NMO>108 [4] minus total cost of DBMC mach [4].
- Column [1]: Model costs from Attachment A, pages 7 to 15.
- Column [2]: Proportional CRA adjustment factor = row (3).
- Column [3]: Fixed CRA adjustment factor = row (4).
- Column [4]: Total Costs = model costs times proportional adjustment plus fixed adjustment.

#### Conversion Factor Calculations

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
Container Type	Outside Dim. Per Container (Inches)	Inside Dim. Per Container (Inches)	Cubic Feet Per Container	Effective Parcel Capacity (# of Parcels)	Capacity at Average Fuliness (# of Parcels)	Average % FULL
Machinable			,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Pallet	48x40x48	48x40x48	53.3	91.8	78.0	85%
Postal Pak	48x40x69	46.5x38.5x69	71.5	111.9	95.1	85%
Pallet Box	48x40x69	46.5x38.5x69	71.5	111.9	98.5	88%
Container	65x41.5x36	65x41.5x36	56.2	88.0	74.8	85%
NMOs	<del> </del>		-	·		
Pallel	48x40x48	48x40x48	53.3	26.8	26.8	100%
Presorted Pallet	48x40x48	48x40x48	53.3	26.8	26.8	100%
In-house Container	65x41.5x36	85x41.5x36	56.2	25.7	21.8	85%
Pallet Box	48x40x89	46.5x38.5x69	71.5	32.6	27.7	85%
Oversize NMOs						
108"-130" on Pallet	48x40x48	48x40x48	53.3	6.5	6.6	100%
108"-130" in IHC	65x41.5x36	65x41.5x36	56.2	0.4	64 14	100%

	[7]	[8]	<b>[9]</b>	[10]	[11]
Machi		nable Norma		chinable	198"-130"
Pleces Per	R84-1	R01-1	R84-1	R01-f	R01-1
Container	FY82	FY98	FY82	FY98	FY98
Sack	7.92	5.1	n/a	r/a	n/a
Sack in OTR	126.7	81.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
OTR	106.9	69.0	42.0	27,1	6795058
APC	55.2	35.7	21.7	14.0	3.6
Hamper	35.6	23.0	14.0	9.0	2.2

[13]

			Cubic Feet	No. of Sacks	No. of Sacks		
		Machinable	NMO	CRA	108"-130"	on IHC	on Postal Pak
***************************************	FY98	0.581	1.992	0.833	8.04	14.61	18.59
	FY82			0.538	Commence of the control of the contr		

[15]

[16]

[17]

[14]

#### tources

Column [1]: Container Methods, Handbook PO-502 (September 1992), USPS LR-H-133.

[12]

- Column [2]: Container Methods, Handbook PO-502 (September 1992), USPS LR-H-133.
- Column [3]: (Length \* width \* height) / (12\*12\*12).
- Column [4]: (Column [3]) / ((column [13]) \* air factor), to account for "effective cube" and (column [3]) / ((column [14]) \* air factor) and (column [3]) / ((column [16]) \* air factor).

  Air factor = 1 for pallets, and 1.1 for all else.
- Column [5]: Effective cubic capacity (column [4]) \* average % fullness (column [6]).
- Column [6]: Pallets, postal paks and IHCs should be as full as practicable before dispatch so it is reasonable to assume these containers will be at least 85% full.

  The majority of pallet boxes come from mailers who must have 75 percent full boxes, and lend to fiff them to maximize capacity.

he majority of paset boxes come from maners who must have /s percent rull boxes, and tend to ret them to maximize capacity

Therefore 88 percent, the average of 75 and 100 percent was used.

- Column [7]: Docket No. R84-1, Exhibit USPS-141.
- Column [8]: Pieces per container in Docket No. R84-1 (column [7]) \* FY82 cubic feet per piece (column [14]) / FY98 cubic feet per piece (column [14]).
- Column [9]: Docket No. R84-1, Exhibit USPS-14I.
- Column [10]: Pieces per container in Docket No. R84-1 (column [9]) \* FY82 cubic feet per piece (column [14]) / FY98 cubic feet per piece (column [14]).
- Column [11]: Column [10] \* column [13] / column [15].
- Column [12]: LR-I-105, Attachment E, FY98 machinable cubic feet/ machinable pieces.
- Column [13]: LR-105, Attachment E, FY98 NMO cubic feet / NMO pieces.
- Column [14]: FY82 CRA, cubic feet / pieces . FY98 CRA, cubic feet / pieces.
- Column [15]: LR-I-105, Attachment H.
- Column [16]: No. of parcels on IHC (column 5) divided by no. of parcels in a sack (column 8).
- Column [17]: No of parcels on a parcel (column5) divided by no. of parcels in a sack (column 8).

## Nonmachinable Nonpresort Inter-BMC Cost Development Length plus Girth Between 108" and 130"

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
Origin SCF	# handlings	units/hr	conversion	płggyback	\$ per oper.	\$ per facility
_	4 0000					1.2599
Unload Containers <sup>1</sup>	1.0000	470.0			0.4425	4 0.4425
Bedload NMOs	0.0400	176.6	1.0	1.65		
Load NMOs in OTRs	0.7250	10.4	64.67	1.65	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
Load NMOs in OWCs	0.2220	10.4	2.0	1.65	the second second second second	ALL DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF
Load NMOs on Pallets	0.0130	13.4	5.6	1.65	0.5054	
Origin BMC						2.4655
Unload Bedloaded to IHC Unload NMOs in OTRs	0.0400	154.1	1.0	1.74		
	0.7250	20.8		1.74		
Unload NMOs in OWC	0.2220	20.8	10.00 December 25.00	1.74		
Unload NMOs on Paliets	0.0130	12.3	6.8	1.74	0.5829	
Move IHC	0.0400	14.1	14	1.60		
Move OTR	0.7250	14.1		1.60	- 15	
Move OWC	0.2220	14.1	44	1.60		
Move Pallets	0.0130	14.1		1.60	district continued to the continued	STOPPED BOOK COMPANY OF THE STOPPED AND ADDRESS OF THE STOPPED ADDRESS O
O. Primary NMO Sort	1.0000	98.6	1.0	1.53	***************************************	
Move Pallets	1.0000	14.1				
Load NMOs on Pallets	1.0000	13.4	6.6	1.74	0.5339	
Destination BMC	4 0000	40.0				2.3403
Unload NMOs on Pallets	1.0000	12.3	<b>Company of the State of the St</b>	1.74	STATE OF THE PARTY	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE
Move Pallets	1.0000	14.1	ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	1.60	Service Control of the Control of th	Comment of the Commen
D. Primary NMO Sort	1.0000	98.6	1.0	1.53		
Move IHC	0.0384	14.1				
Move OTR	0.1595	14.1	6.7	1.94	55 CA 100 CA	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
Move Patlets	0.3098	14.1		1.65	And the second second second second	
Move OWC	0.0074	14.1	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	1.74		Martin British and State Control of Control
Bedload from IHC	0.1291	176.6	1.0	1.74		
Load NMOs in OTRs	0.5363	10.4				
Load NMOs on Pallet	0.3098	13.4			Commence of the Commence of th	
Load NMOs in OWC	0.0248	10.4	2.9	1.74	1,5063	CARLO CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE O
Destination SCF	0.4064	484.4	4.0	4.05	0.0044	1.9325
Unload Bedload to IHC	0.1061	154.1	1.0	1.65		
Unload OTRs	0.4407	20.8		1.65		
Unload Pallet	0.3098	12.3		1.65		
Unload OWC	0.0151	20.8	200 (DEX 25) (SE (SE (SE	1.65		
Move IHC	0.1061	14.1		1.65		
Move OTRs	0.4407	14.1		1.65	See Section 19 April	
Move Pallet	0.3098	14.1	. 0.6	1.65		
Move OWC	0.0151	14.1	Manage of the State of State o	1.65	Makasa jaren eta Berrin Sarto Sarto Sarto I	No. of the State o
Manual Sort	0.8717	433.0	1.0	1.50		
Move IHC	0.2443	14.1		1.65		
Move OTRs	0.5069	14.1	The last beautiful and the	1.65		
Move OWC	0.1205					
Bedload NMOs	0.2443	176.6		1.65		
Load OTRs w/ loose	0.5069	10.4	*** In the second secon	1.65	C TOTAL STREET, THE COLUMN	
Load Hampers/OWC	0.1205	10.4	2 5 5 7 0	1.65		0.1826
Destination Delivery Unit		454.4	4.4	400		Page 3004
Unload Bedload NMOs	0.2673	154.1	1.0	1.65		
Unload loose in OTR	0.6025	20.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.65		导性视频影响
Unload OWC	0.1302	20.8		1.65		
Total # of Sorts	2.0000		75	Model Cos	st	领达的 海髓

#### Sources

Column [1]: Attachment A, page 4, arrival and dispatch profiles.

Column [2]: Attachment A, page 3, units per workhour.

Column [3]: Attachment A, page 3, conversion factors.

Column [4]: Attachment A, page 5, piggyback factors.

Column [5]: (TY wage rate \* column [4]) / (column [2] \* column [3]).

Column [6]: (column [1] \* column [5]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unload Containers cost at OSCF uses the average cost of unloading containers at origin BMC as proxy.

## Nonmachinable Nonpresort Inter-BMC Cost Development Length plus Girth Between 108" and 130"

	[1] # handlings	[2] units/hr	[3] conversion	[4] piggyback	[5] \$ per oper.	[6] \$ per facility
Origin SCF	n nanomigo	GIIII GIIII	CONTONON	pigg) buok	Ф рог орог.	1,2599
Unload Containers <sup>1</sup>	1.0000				BEARIE!PA	
Bedload NMOs	0.0400	176.6	1.0	1.65	0.2542	0.0102
Load NMOs in OTRs	0.7250	10.4		1.65	0.2012	
Load NMOs in OWCs	0.2220	10.4	No. of the Control of	1.65	15102	0.3353
Load NMOs on Pallets	0.0130	13.4		1.65	0.5054	
Destination BMC	0.0100	10.7	<b></b>	1.00		2.2511
Unload Bedloaded to IHC	0.0400	154.1	1.0	1.74	0.3078	0.0123
Unload NMOs in OTRs	0.7250	20.8		1.74	0.3388	
Unload NMOs in OWC	0.2220	20.8		1.74	0.7978	0.1770
Unioad NMOs on Pallets	0.0130	12.3	<b>人</b> 。	1.74	0.5829	0.0076
Move IHC	0.0400	14.1		1.60	0.4871	0.0195
Move OTR	0.7250	14.1	8.7	1.60	0.4606	0.3339
Move OWC	0.2220	14.1		1.60	1.0837	0.2406
Move Pallet	0.0130	14.1		1.60		
D. Primary NMO Sort	1.0000	98.6	1.0	1.53	0.4225	0.4225
Move IHC	0.0119	14.1	1.0	1.60	0.4220	
Move OTR	0.2156	14.1	1 347	1.60	0.4608	0.0993
Move OWC	0.0660	14.1		1.60	1.0837	0.0716
Move Pallet	0.0130	14.1	AA	1.60	The second secon	0.0061
Bedload from IHC	0.1291	176.6	1.0	1.74	0.2685	0.0347
Load NMOs in OTRs	0.5363	10.4		1.74		0.3636
Load NMOs on Pallet	0.3098	13.4	. 66	1.74	0.5339	0.1654
Load NMOs in OWC	0.0248	10.4		1.74	Section 19 and 1	0.0396
Destination SCF	0.02.10	, , , ,	Carlo State Control	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1.9568
Unload Bedload to IHC	0.1061	154.1	1.0	1.65	0.2914	0.0309
Unload OTRs	0.4407	20.8		1.65	0.3208	
Unload Pallet	0.3098	12.3		1.65	The state of the s	
Unload OWC	0.0204	20.8	ALA STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	1.65	0.7548	STATE OF THE PARTY
Move IHC	0.1061	14.1	Company of the second second	1.65		
Move OTRs	0.4407	14.1	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	1.65		
Move Pallet	0.3098	14.1	A A	1.65	0.4808	0.1490
Move OWC	0.0204	14.1		1.65	the state of the second state of the state of the state of	0.0228
Manual Sort	0.8770	433.0		1.50	THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY	0.0829
Move IHC	0.2443	14.1	Company of the Control of the Contro	1.65	***************************************	0,1228
Move OTRs	0.5069	14.1		1.65		0.2406
Move OWC	0.1258	14.1		1.65		Company of the Section of the Company of the Compan
Bedload NMOs	0.2443	176.6	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.65	Market Street St	0.0621
Load OTRs w/ loose	0.5069	10.4		1.65		
Load Hampers/OWC	0.1258		4.29		经有效的 有效	
Destination Delivery Unit						Die 0.3604 its
Unload Bedload NMOs	0.2673	154.1	1.0	1.65	0.2914	0.0779
Unload loose in OTR	0.6025	20.8		1.65		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH
Unload OWC	0.1302	20.8		1.65	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Total # of Sorts	1.0000			Model Cos	t	AUTE

- Column [1]: Attachment A, page 4, arrival and dispatch profiles.
- Column [2]: Attachment A, page 3, units per workhour.
- Column [3]: Attachment A, page 3, conversion factors.
- Column [4]: Attachment A, page 5, piggyback factors.
- Column [5]: (TY wage rate \* column [4]) / (column [2] \* column [3]).
- Column [6]: (column [1] \* column [5]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unload Containers cost at OSCF uses the average cost of unloading containers at origin BMC as proxy.

# Nonmachinable DBMC Model Cost Summary<sup>1</sup> Length plus Girth Between 108" and 130"

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
<del></del>	# handlings	units/hr	conversion	piggyback	\$ per oper.	\$ per facility
Destination BMC						n 2.0555
Unload Bedload	0.9850	161.4		1.74	0.2940	
Unload Pallets <sup>2</sup>	0.0150	12.3	6.6	1.74	0.5829	0.0087
Move IHC (from bedload)	0.9850	14.1	6.4	1.60	0.4871	0.4798
Move Pallets	0.0150	14.1	6.6	1.60	0,4666	0.0070
D. Primary NMO Sort	1.0000	98.6	1.0	1.53	0.4225	0.4225
Move IHCs	0.0384	14.1	6.4	1.60	0.4871	0.0187
Move OTRs	0.1595	14.1	1 PM 67	1.60	0.4606	0.0735
Move Pallets	0.3098	14.1	6.6	1.60	0.4666	0.1445
Move OWCs	0.0074	14.1	2.9	1.60	1.0837	0.0080
Bedload from IHC	0.1291	176.6	1.0	1.74	0.2685	0.0347
Load NMOs in OTRs	0.5363	10.4			0.6780	0.3636
Load NMOs on Pallet	0.3098	13.4	6.6	1.74	0.5339	0.1654
Load NMOs in OWC	0.0248	10.4	2.9	1.74	1.5953	0.0396
Destination SCF			the state of the s	•	Secure Commission of Secure Commission of Secure 2011	1.9568
Unload Bedload to IHC	0.1061	154.1	1.0	1.65	0.2914	0.0309
Unload OTRs	0.4407	20.8	6.7	1.65	0.3208	0.1414
Unload Pallet	0.3098	12.3	6.6	1.65	0.5518	0.1709
Unload OWC	0.0204	20.8	2.9	1.65	0,7548	0.0154
Move IHC	0.1061	14.1	6.4	1.65	0.5020	0,0533
Move OTRs	0.4407	14.1	6.7	1.65	0.4747	0.2092
Move Pallet	0.3098	14.1	6.6	1.65	0.4808	0.1490
Move OWC	0.0204	14.1	2.9	1.65	1.1168	0.0228
Manual Sort	0.8770	433.0	1.0	1.50	0.0945	0.0829
Move !HC	0.2443	14.1	6.4	1.65	0.5020	0.1226
Move OTRs	0.5069	14.1	6.7	1.65	0.4747	
Move OWC	0.1258	14.1	2.9	1.65	1,1168	0.1405
Bedload NMOs	0.2443	176.6	1.0	1.65	0.2542	0.0621
Load OTRs w/ loose	0.5069	10.4	1 3 16.7	1.65		3,20,3264
Load Hampers/OWC	0.1258	10.4	2.9	1.65 <sup>-</sup>	1.5102	0.1900
<b>Destination Delivery Unit</b>				•		0.3694
Unload Bedload NMOs	0.2673	154.1	1.0	1.65	0.2914	0.0779
Unload loose in OTR	0.6025	20.8	6.7	1.65	0,6208	# <b>0</b> ,1933
Unload OWC	0.1302	20.8	en e <b>(2</b> ,8	1.65	0.7545	6890.0
Total # of Sorts	1.0000			Model Cos	•	
i otal # Of Surts	1.0000			MIDGEL COS	<u> </u>	9,000

### Sources

Column [1]: Attachment A, page 4, arrival and dispatch profiles.

Column [2]: Attachment A, page 3, units per workhour.

Column [3]: Attachment A, page 3, conversion factors.

Column [4]: Attachment A, page 5, piggyback factors.

Column [5]: (TY wage rate \* column [4]) / (column [2] \* column [3]).

Column [6]: (column [1] \* column [5]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>DBMC model costs are calculated in this testimony for the sole purpose of comparing an average Parcel Post model cost to the CRA parcel post mail processing cost pools in order to calculate the CRA proportional adjustment factor.

<sup>2</sup>Assumes oversize parcels will not arrive in pallet boxes, so # of handling for pallets and pallet boxes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Assumes oversize parcels will not arrive in pallet boxes, so # of handling for pallets and pallet boxes were combined.

# **Non-Transportation Cost Savings Summary**

\$0.232 2/ \$0.662 3/ \$0.105 3/ \$0.557 5/ \$0.894 5/ \$0.105 7/ \$0.557
\$0.105 \$0.557 \$1.57 \$1.894 \$2.105 \$2.557
\$0.557 \$1 \$0.894 \$7 \$0.105 \$7 \$0.557
\$0.894 \$7 \$0.105 \$7 \$0.557
\$0.105 7/ \$0.557
\$0.557
\$0.232
)/ <b>\$0.428</b>
O/ Commission of States and the second
1/ \$0.730
2/
1

- Row 1/: Attachment G, page 1, row 6.
- Row 2/: Row (3) + row (4).
- Row 3/: Attachment F, page 1, row 16.
- Row 4/: Attachment F, page 2, row 10.
- Row 5/: Row (6) + row (7) + row (8).
- Row 6/: Attachment H, page 1, row 1.
- Row 7/: Attachment H, page 1, row 2.
- Row 8/: Attachment H, page 1, row 3.
- Row 9/: Attachment 1, page 1, row 12.
- Row 10/: Attachment I, page 1, row 9
- Row 11/: Attachment J, page 1, row 4.
- Row 12/: Attachment J, page 1, row 5.

### INPUTS FOR DROPSHIP MODELS

## Inputs for Dropship Models

0.05 0.05 0.08 0.08	1/ 2/ 3/ 4/
0.08	3/
5.55	
0.08	4/
1.450	5/
1.124	6/
1.124	7/
14.6	8/
	1.124 1.124

### **DSCF** specific inputs

Proportion of DSCF dropped at BMCs	12.30%	9/		
Proportion of DSCF using requirements	Mach	NMO	Over 108	
Sacks	0	0	N/A	10/
Pallet and Pallet Boxes	1	1	N/A	11/
Average Number of parcels	Mach	NMQ	Over 108	
Sacks	10	N/A	N/A	12/
Pallet and pallet boxes	62.1	22.5	5.58 -4	13/

### Calculation of Average # of Parcels on a Pallet

	<b>Min</b> [1]	<b>Max</b> [2]	Average [3]
Mach		<del> </del>	
Pallet (min 36, max 48")	68.90	91.8	75.8
Pallet Box (min 36 ", max 60")	58.40	97.3	70.1
Pallet (ave 50)			50.0
Pallet box (ave 50)			50.0
Pallet (min 50 pieces , max 48")	50	91.8	62.5
Pallet Box (min 50 pieces, max 60")	50	97.3	64.2
Average Mach			62.1
NMO			
Pallet (min 36" max 48")	20.1	26.8	23.5
Pallet Box (min 36 " max 60")	14.5	28.4	21.5
Average NMO			22.5
Oversize	•		
Pallet (min 36" max 48")	5.0	5.6	
Average Oversize	**************************************		SE 5.5

#### Sources

- Row 1/: LR-I-105. Machinable DBMC volume / total DBMC volume and NMO DBMC volume/total DBMC volume.
- Row 2/: Assume same percent as DBMC.
- Row 3/: £R-I-105. Machinable Inter-BMC volume / total inter-BMC volume and NMO inter- BMC volume/total inter-BMC volume.
- Row 4/: LR-I-105. Machinable intra-BMC volume / total intra-BMC volume and NMO intra-BMC volume/total intra-BMC volume.
- Row 5/: USPS-T-21, Attachment 10, BY piggyback factor.
- Row 6/: LR-I-106, TY wage rate / BY wage rate.
- Row 7/: LR-L-106, TY wage rate/ BY wage rate.
- Row 8/: Attachment A, page 6, column [16].
- Row 9/: Percent of Volume with direct transportation to delivery units.
- Row 10/: Area Coordinators reported no use of sacks for DSCF discount.
- Row 11/: Area Coordinators reported that all mailers used pallets for DSCF discount.
- Row 12/: Assumption behind requirement (due to zero handlings, not used).
- Row 13/: Developed Below. Average of different requirement.
- Column [1]: Calculated using model in Attachment A, page 6.
- Column [2]: Calculated using model in Attachment A, page 6.
- Column [3]: Weighted average of column [1] and column [2].

For machinable, weights minimum by 0.7 and maximum by 0.3.

For NMO< weights both machinable and NMO by 0.5

# **BMC Presort Parcel Post Cost Savings**

	[1]	[2] BMC		[3]
	Nonpresorted	Presorted		Difference
Operation	Cost/Piece	Cost/Piece		(Savings)
Machinable Parcel Post				
Origin BMC unload	\$0.0470	\$0.0394		\$0.0076
Origin BMC	\$0.2797	\$0.0631		\$0.2166
Origin BMC Load	\$0.0372	\$0.0361		\$0.0011
DBMC Unload	\$0.0407	\$0.0394		\$0.0012
BMC Savings			1/	\$0.2266
Nonmachinable Parcel Post		<del> </del>		
Origin BMC unload	\$0.1183	\$0.1540		-\$0.0357
Origin BMC	\$0.5963	\$0.2466		\$0.3497
Origin BMC Load	\$0.1323	\$0.1411		-\$0.0088
DBMC Unload	\$0.1444	\$0.1540		-\$0.0097
BMC Savings			2/	\$0.2955
Oversize Parcel Post				
Origin BMC unload	\$0.4425	\$0.6236		-50.1811
Origin BMC	<b>31.4</b> 891	\$0.9984		\$0.4907
Origin BMC Load	\$0.5339	\$0.5712		\$4.50.0373
DBMC Unload	\$0.5829	\$0.6236		\$0.0408
BMC Savings	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3/	E#\$0.2315
Proportion of Inter-BMC volume	that is Machinable	4/		0.92
Proportion of Inter-BMC volume		5/		0.08
Total BMC Presort Related Sa	ivings 6/		•	\$0.232

# Sources

Column [1]: Attachment A, pages 7-9.

Column [2]: Attachment G, page 2, column 6.

Row 1/: Sum of cost savings for machinable Parcel Post.

Row 2/: Sum of cost savings for nonmachinable Parcel Post.

Row 3/: Sum of cost savings for oversize nonmachinable Parcel Post.

Row 4/: Machinable inter-BMC volume divided by total inter-BMC volume.

Row 5/: Nonmachinable inter-BMC volume divided by total nonmachinable inter-BMC volume.

Row 6/: [Row (4) \* machinable BMC Savings] + [row (5) \* nonmachinable BMC savings].

## **BMC PRESORTED PARCEL POST COST PER PIECE**

Operation	[1] # handling	[2] units/hr	[3] conversion	[4] piggyback	[5] \$ per oper	[6] cost
Machinable BMC Presort						
Origin BMC						
Unload Pallet Box	1.0000	12.3	98.1	1.74	\$0.0394	\$0.0394
Crossdock Pallet Box	1.0000	7.0	98.1	1.60	\$0.0631	\$0.0631
Load Pallet Box	1.0000	13.4	98.1	1.74	\$0.0361	\$0.0361
Destination BMC						•
Unload Pallet Box	1.0000	12.3	98.1	1.74	\$0.0394	\$0.0394
Nonmachinable BMC Presort			<u></u>			
Origin BMC						
Unload Pailets	1.0000	12.3	25.1	1.74	\$0.1540	\$0.1540
Crossdock Pallets	1.0000	7.0	25.1	1.60	\$0.2466	\$0.2466
Load NMOs Pallets	1.0000	13.39	25.1	1.74	\$0.1411	\$0.1411
Destination BMC						
Unload Pallets	1.0000	12.27	25.1	1.74	\$0.1540	\$0.1540
Oversize Parcels						<del></del>
Origin BMC			4			
Unload Pallets	1.0000	12.3	E E E 102	1.74	\$0,6236	\$0.6236
Crossdock Pallets	1.0000	7.0	49.62	1.60	\$0.9984	\$0,9984
Load NMOs Pallets	1.0000	13.4	6.2	1.74	\$0.5712	\$0.5712
Destination BMC		0.0		•		
Unload Pallets	1.0000	12.3	6.2	1.74	\$0.6236	\$0,6236

- Column [1]: Each handled only one time.
- Column [2]: Attachment A, page 3, units per workhour.
- Column [3]: Conversion factor. Reflects the average between the minimum requirements and maximum fullness.

  'Mach min 52", max 69". NMO min 42", max 48 ". Oversize min 42", max 48".
- Column [4]: LR-I-77, test year operation specific piggyback factors.
- Column [5]: (Wage rate \* column [4]) / ( column [2] \* column [3] ).
- Column [6]: Column [5] \* column [1].

# Summary of DSCF Savings (compared to DBMC)

DBMC Mailprocessing modeled Costs		
Mach	\$0.673	1/
NMO	\$1.780	2/
Over 108	\$4,382.	3/
DSCF Modeled Costs		
Machinable	\$0.272	4/
NMO	\$0.753	5/
Over 108	ं ५४,७४६	6/
DSCF Cost Savings		
Machinable	\$0.401	7/
NMO	\$1.027	8/
Additional Cost of over 108 compared to average DSCF Parcel	<b>\$2</b>  623	9/
Proportion of Mach	0.95	10/
Proportion of NMO	0.05	11/
Average DSCF Cost Savings (no oversize)	<u> </u>	40/
Average DOOF Cost Gavings (no oversize)	\$0.428	12/

- Row 1/: Attachment A, page 13, modeled cost of machinable DBMC.
- Row 2/: Attachment A, page 14, modeled cost of nonmachinable DBMC.
- Row 3/: Attachment A, page 15, modeled cost of oversize nonmachinable DBMC.
- Row 4/: Attachment I, page 2, modeled cost of machinable DSCF.
- Row 5/: Attachment I, page 2, modeled cost of nonmachinable DSCF.
- Row 6/: Attachment I, page 2, modeled cost of oversize nonmachinable DSCF.
- Row 7/: Row (1) row (4).
- Row 8/: Row (2) row (5).
- Row 9/: Row (6) [row (4) \* row (10) + row (5) \* row (11)].
- Row 10/: Attachment D, page 1, row 2.
- Row 11/: Attachment D, page 1, row 2.
- Row 12/: [Row (7) \* row (10)] + [row (8) \* row (11)].

# **DSCF Model Cost Summary**

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
	# handlings	units/hr	conversion	piggyback	\$ per oper.	\$ per facility
MACHINABLE						
Destination BMC						\$0.0269
Unload Pallet/Pallet Box	0.1230	12.3		1.74	\$0.0623	\$0.0077
Cross dock Pallet/Pallet Box	0.1230	7.0		1.60	\$0.0997	\$0.0123
Load Pallet/Pallet Box	0.1230	13.4	62.1	1.74	\$0.0570	\$0.0070
Destination SCF						\$0.1865
Unload Pallet/Pallet Box	0.8770	12.3		1.65	\$0.0589	\$0.0517
Unload Bedloaded Sacks	0.0000	154.1			\$0.0291	\$0.0000
Crossdock Pallet/Pallet Box	0.8770	7.0		1.60	\$0.0997	\$0.0874
Crossdock bedloaded sacks	0.0000	7.0		1.60	\$0.0424	\$0.0000
Load Pallet/Pallet Box	0.8770	13.4	62.1	1.65	\$0.0540	\$0.0474
Bedload Sacks	0.0000	182.5	10.0	1.65	\$0.0246	\$0.0000
<b>Destination Delivery Unit</b>						\$0.0589
Unload Pallet/Pallet Box	1.0000	12.3	62.1	1.65	\$0.0589	\$0.0589
Unload Bedloaded Sacks	0.0000	154.1	10.0	1.65	\$0.0291	\$0.0000
Dump Sacks	0.0000	110.9	10.0	1.65	\$0.0405	\$0.0000
TOTAL						\$0.2724
NONMACHINABLE						
Destination BMC						\$0.0745
Unload Pallet/Pallet Box	0.1230	12.3	22.5	1.74	\$0.1722	\$0.0212
Crossdock Pallet/Pallet Box	0.1230	7.0	22.5	1.60	\$0.2757	\$0.0339
Load Pallet/Pallet Box	0.1230	13.4	22.5	1.74	\$0.1578	\$0.0194
Destination SCF						\$0.5158
Unload Pallet/Pallet Box	0.8770	12.3	22.5	1.65	\$0.1630	\$0.1430
Crossdock Pallet/Pallet Box	0.8770	7.0	22.5	1.60	\$0.2757	\$0.2418
Load Pallet/Pallet Box	0.8770	13.4	22.5	1.65	\$0.1493	\$0.1310
<b>Destination Delivery Unit</b>						\$0.1630
Unload Pallet/Pallet Box	1.0000	12.3	22.5	1.65	\$0.1630	\$0.1630
TOTAL						\$0.7533
OVERSIZE						17.10
Destination BMC						\$0.2884
Unload Pallets	0.1230	12.3	<b>7572 5 8</b>	1.74	\$0.6886	\$0.0820
Cross dock pallets	0.1230	7.0	5.8	1.60	\$1.0673	\$6, \$0,1313
Load Pallets	0.1230	13.4	5.8	1.74	\$ \$0,6106	\$0.0761
Destination SCF				•	parties (Lawys) C. C. Carrier	\$1,9964
Unload Pallets	0.8770	12.3	53	1.65	<b>5. 30</b> 83 14	
Crossdock Pallets	0.8770	7.0			\$1,0673	
Load Pallets	0.8770	13.4				
<b>Destination Delivery Unit</b>						80 - <b>3</b> 0 8314
Unload Pallets	1.0000	12.3	34441	1.65		The state of the s
TOTAL				•		52,9169

## Weighted Average of DSCF mach and NMO

\$0.2932

# Sources

Column [1]: Attachment D, page 1, row 9.

Column [2]: Attachment A, page 3, units per workhour.

Column [3]: Attachment D, page 1, row 13.

Column [4]: Attachment A, page 5, piggyback factors.

Column [5]: (Adjusted wage rate \* column [4]) / (column [2] \* column [3] ).

Column [6]: (Column [1] ) \*( column [5] ).

# **DDU Cost Savings**

		Modeled Costs			
		Mach	NMO	Over 108	
Costs Avoided by DDU	1/ -	\$0.673	\$1.780	\$4.382	
Percent of Mach	2/	0.95			
Percent of NMO	3/	0.05			

المستخدم والمستخدم والمستح		
Average DDU Cost Savings (no oversize)	4/	\$0.730
Oversize DDU Cost Savings	5/	\$4 382

# **Sources**

Row 1/: Attachment A, page 13 to 15, modeled DBMC costs.

Row 2/: Attachment D, page 1, row 2.

Row 3/: Attachment D, page 1, row 2.

Row 4/: Machinable cost avoided \* percent of machinable [row (2)] + NMO cost avoided \* percent of NMO [row (3)].

Row 5/: Oversize cost avoided in row (1).

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 March 22, 2000